915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

March 27, 2013

Stephen Conway, Director of Finance City of Los Gatos 110 E. Main Street Los Gatos, CA 95030

Dear Mr. Conway:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Los Gatos Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 15, 2013 for the period of July through December 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligation(s):

Item No. 8 – RDA Reserve for COP payments in the amount of \$135,757. HSC 34171
(d) (1) (A) states that reserves may be held when required by the bond indenture or when the next property tax allocation will be insufficient to pay all obligations due under the provisions of the bond for the next payment due in the following half calendar year.

The Agency was unable to provide documentation to support the need for additional reserves required by the indenture. Further, the cash flow analysis provided by the Agency did not display an insufficiency of property tax allocation for payments due in the following half calendar year. Therefore, HSC 34171 (d) (1) (A) does not apply.

It is our understanding the Agency wishes to build reserves over the next 12 years to ensure adequate funding for the bonds once the funding cap is reached, approximated in fiscal year 2026-27. Finance is denying the funding for these reserves at this time. The cash flow analysis shows that there will be sufficient funds available to establish the required reserves in the fiscal years closer to the projected funding cap fiscal year.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part as an enforceable obligation, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14A. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet and confer/

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is: \$1,583,039 as summarized below:

| Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount | |
|--|-----------------|
| For the period of July through December 2013 | |
| Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations | \$ 1,593,796 |
| Minus: Six-month total for items denied | |
| Item 8 | \$ 135,757 |
| Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations | \$ 1,458,039 |
| Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost | 125,000 |
| Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment | -3 |
| Total RPTTF approved for distribution: | \$ 1,583,039 |

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/.

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Mr. Stephen Conway March 27, 2013 Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor or Derk Symons, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

STEVE SZALAY

Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Jennifer Callaway, Finance and Budget Manager

Ms. Irene Lui, Controller-Treasurer, Santa Clara County

California State Controller's Office